

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

09/750,601

Applicant(s)

DHARANIKOTA, SUDHEER

Examiner

Robert C. Scheibel

Art Unit

2666

All Participants:

(1) Robert C. Scheibel.

(2) Bobby D. Slaton.

Status of Application: Pending

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 10 February 2005

Time: 11:30 AM EST

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1-2, 22-27

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Robert C. Scheibel 2-10-05
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner outlined the rationale for a 103 rejection of claims 2 and 27 using U.S. Patent 6,611,522. Examiner proposed that the interview be used to discuss possible amendments to claims 2 and 27 in order to patentably distinguish the claims from the art of record. Mr. Slaton requested that claims 2-21 and 27-30 be cancelled (in addition to claim 1 and 26 which were cancelled in the last amendment of 9/15/2004) and that the patent be allowed with claims 22-25 to speed up prosecution..